Panoptispy: Characterizing Audio and Video Exfiltration from Android Applications

Elleen Pan, Jingjing Ren, Martina Lindorfer*, Christo Wilson, and David Choffnes

Northeastern University, *UC Santa Barbara

Motivation





Examples

SilverPush ultrasonic beacons for cross-device linking



patents for recognizing user emotion



listening for unlicensed broadcasting



photos taken surreptitiously by shrinking preview to 1x1 pixel

Media surveillance, so far, has been anecdotal



- Large number of apps & broad coverage of app stores
- Focus on exfiltration over network
- Is the exfiltration a leak (undisclosed/unexpected)?
- How do apps use sensors?
 - Permissions requested
 - APIs called

Goals

• First or third-parties





4

Outline

- Motivation
- Threat Model
- Methodology
- Aggregate Results
- Case Studies
 - Photography apps
 - Screen recording
- Discussion
- Conclusion

Android Access Control



- Certain APIs require permissions in order for code to execute
- Protects sensors from being accessed by apps that don't need it
- Requested at install time for API level 22-, runtime for API level 23+

Android Permission Model



Why aren't permissions enough?

- Incomplete
 - No permissions required for capturing app screen
- Coarse-grained
 - Permissions granted at app level
 - Third-party libraries also get access
 - Users don't know when apps are using hardware
- Lack of visibility and control (may contain PII!)
 - as media is exfiltrated over the network
 - Background access

Definition of media leak

Suspicious or unexpected



- 1. Does it further the primary purpose of the app?
- 2. Is it disclosed to the user?
 - Privacy policies
- 3. Is it employed by similar apps?
- 4. Is it encrypted over the internet?

No? It's a leak





App Selection

				Store	# of apps
Popular + new from Google Play Popular + random from AppChina, Mi.com, Anzhi				Google Play	15,627
		Camera or audio		AppChina	510
		permission		Mi.com	528
				Anzhi	285
				Total	17,260



Static Analysis

- Permission analysis (camera, record audio)
- Media API references (camera, record audio, video, screen capturing)
- Media API references found in third-party libraries



Dynamic Analysis

- Why is dynamic analysis necessary?
 - Detect whether media permissions are actually used
 - Media APIs may be in dead code paths
 - Detect dynamically loaded / obfuscated code



Dynamic Analysis

- Test environment
- Automated interaction
 - Monkey for 5,000 events
- Recording network traffic
 - Mitmproxy to intercept traffic





Detection of Media in Network Traffic

- Extraction
 - Mediaextract detection with file
 magic numbers
 - E.g. JPEG files: FF D8 FF ...
 - False positives require manual checking

Category	Supported	Unsupported
Audio	3gp , aac , id3v2, m4a , ogg , wav	raw
Image	bmp, gif, jpg, png, webp	
Video	3gp, mp4, webm	



Detection of Media in Network Traffic

- Validation
 - Test app
 - Manual tests with known apps imgur
 - Verification of detected media by manually interacting with apps

SOUNDCLOUD

Static: Permission vs. API



- Large fractions of audio (43.8%) and camera (75.6%) permission declarations
- Permissions > API calls
- Mi, Google > Anzhi, AppChina
- One exception: API > permission (audio in Play)

Dynamic: Media in Network Traffic



- 21 cases of detected media 12 considered leaks
 - Unexpected or unencrypted
- 9 shared with third parties

Case Study: Photography Apps



- Server-side photo editing
 - Photos are sent to servers
 - Users not notified
- App has no other functionality requiring internet connection
- Privacy policy vaguely disclosed (5 apps) or didn't mention (1 app)

Case Study: Screen Recording

goPuff

- Screen recording of user interaction, where PII was exposed
 - Leaked to an Appsee domain

⊡appsee

- Screen recording as a feature
- Developers are responsible for hiding sensitive screens
- Few apps use the API method to do so 5/33 apps
 - Server-side way exists, unknown how many apps use it



Responsible Disclosure

- **GOPUT**Pulled Appsee from Android & iOS builds
 Updated privacy policy



- Google Reviewed GoPuff & Appsee
 - "Google constantly monitors apps and analytics providers to ensure they are policy-compliant. When notified of our findings, they reviewed GoPuff and Appsee and took the appropriate actions."

□ appsee ¬_(ツ)_/

Limitations

- Translated media formats (audio being transcribed, etc.)
- Controlled experiments do not replicate environmental conditions
- Intentional obfuscation of traffic



Smartphone apps don't listen to your conversations, but they do something equally creepy

The researchers found that while smartphone applications did not send audio clippings to third-party domains, they did send screenshots or screen recordings to them.

🎾 BusinessToday.In New Delhi Last Updated: July 4, 2018 | 22:14 IST

Yes, your phone is spying on you...but not how you think it is

Yahoo Finance Video • July 5, 2018

Recommendations

- Access to the screen should be protected by OS
 - Or, users should at least be notified & able to opt out
- Main app & third-party permissions should be separated

Conclusion

- Most apps have over-provisioned permissions
 - Susceptible for abuse from third parties
- 12 cases of unexpected or unencrypted media
 - 9 cases of third party sharing
- Screen recording video sent to a third party library
 - Sensitive input fields
 - No permissions or notification to the user

https://recon.meddle.mobi/panoptispy/